

## Appendix 5

### Consistency with SEPPs

## Appendix 5 – Consistency of Planning Proposal with State Environmental Planning Policies

SEPP	Applicable	Consistent	Comment
State Environmental Planning Policy No 1—Development Standards	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas	Yes	Yes	The site does not contain any vegetation and could not assist with the aims of this policy.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 21—Caravan Parks	Yes	NA	The planning proposal does not relate to caravan parks.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 30—Intensive Agriculture	Yes	NA	The planning proposal does not relate to intensive agriculture, such as cattle feedlots and piggeries.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 33—Hazardous and Offensive Development	Yes	Yes	The planning proposal does not recommend the amendment of existing provisions affecting the permissibility of housing and related development.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 36—Manufactured Home Estates	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy No 44—Koala Habitat Protection	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy No 47—Moore Park Showground	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy No 50—Canal Estate Development	Yes	Yes	The planning proposal does not recommend making canal estate developments permissible.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 52—Farm Dams and Other Works in Land and Water Management Plan Areas	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy No 55—Remediation of Land	Yes	Yes	The planning proposal does not recommend the amendment of existing provisions relating to the remediation of land.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 62—Sustainable Aquaculture	Yes	NA	The planning proposal does not relate to sustainable aquaculture.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 64—Advertising and Signage	Yes	Yes	The planning proposal does not recommend the amendment of existing provisions relating to advertising and signage.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 65—Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development	Yes	Yes	The planning proposal does not recommend the amendment of existing provisions relating to the design quality of residential flat development.
State Environmental Planning Policy No 70—Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes)	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009	Yes	Yes	The planning proposal does not recommend the amendment of existing provisions relating to affordable rental housing.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004	Yes	Yes	The planning proposal does not recommend the amendment of existing provisions relating to building sustainability index.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy (Educational Establishments and Child Care Facilities) 2017	Yes	Yes	The planning proposal does not recommend the amendment of existing provisions relating to educational establishments and child care facilities.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008	Yes	Yes	The planning proposal does not recommend the amendment of existing provisions relating to exempt and complying development.

## Appendix 5 – Consistency of Planning Proposal with State Environmental Planning Policies

SEPP	Applicable	Consistent	Comment
State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004	Yes	Yes	The planning proposal does not recommend the amendment of existing provisions relating to housing for seniors or people with a disability.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007	Yes	Yes	The planning proposal does not recommend the amendment of existing provisions relating to the delivery of infrastructure.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Integration and Repeals) 2016	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy (Kosciuszko National Park—Alpine Resorts) 2007	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy (Kurnell Peninsula) 1989	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007	Yes	Yes	The planning proposal does not recommend the amendment of existing provisions relating to mining, petroleum production and extractive industries.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Miscellaneous Consent Provisions) 2007	Yes	Yes	The planning proposal does not recommend the amendment of existing provisions relating to temporary structures.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Penrith Lakes Scheme) 1989	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy (Rural Lands) 2008	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy (State and Regional Development) 2011	Yes	NA	The planning proposal does not relate to State Significant development, State Significant infrastructure, or critical State Significant infrastructure.
State Environmental Planning Policy (State Significant Precincts) 2005	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Drinking Water Catchment) 2011	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Region Growth Centres) 2006	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy (Three Ports) 2013	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy (Urban Renewal) 2010	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas) 2017	Yes	NA	The site does not contain any vegetation and could not assist with the aims of this policy.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Western Sydney Employment Area) 2009	No	-	-
State Environmental Planning Policy (Western Sydney Parklands) 2009	No	-	-